



## Super-resolution imaging reveals principles of physical chromatin folding in *eukaryotes*

Frédéric Bantignies

Chromosome Conformation Symposium - Toulouse 04/12/2019

## Inside cell nucleus, the genome is highly compacted and folded as a chromatin fiber



Rosa & Shaw, 2013

## The different level of genome organization



Marti-Renom and Mirny, PLoSComputational Biology 2011

### **Chromosome Conformation Capture (Hi-C)**



Rao *et al,* 2014 (in situ Hi-C)



Marti-Renom and Mirny, PLoSComputational Biology 2011

### Hi-C maps represent three main levels of genome folding



## TADs represent genomic region of highly interacting chromatin with few interactions spanning their borders

Adapted from Szabo, Bantignies, Cavalli, Science Advances 2019 and Mota-Gomez, Lupianez, Genes 2019

## TADs are a conserved genomic feature with species specificities

VS.

Fly

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Median size: ~ 100 kb
- Coincide well with the alternation of repressed and active chromatin marks

Sexton *et al.*, Cell 2012 Nora *et al.*, Nature 2012 Dixon *et al.*, Nature 2012 Hou *et al.*, Molecular Cell 2012

#### Mammals

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Median size: ~ 900 kb
- Presence of corner peaks (structural architectural loops)
- Presence of Enhancer-Promoter loop (functional loops)

### TADs are considered as functional genomic units

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Median size: ~ 100 kb
- Coincide well with the alternation of repressed and active chromatin marks (Sexton et al, 2012)

![](_page_6_Picture_4.jpeg)

- Median size: ~ 900 kb
- Presence of corner peaks (structural architectural loops)
- Presence of Enhancer-Promoter loop (functional loops)
- Genes within TADs are co-regulated (Nora *et al*, 2012; Zhan *et al*, 2017)
- Enhancer/promoter contacts are restricted within TADs (Symmons *et al*, 2014; Bonev *et al*, 2017)
- Disruption of boundary leads to ectopic gene expression (Lupianez *et al*, 2015; Hniz *et al*, 2016; Rodriguez-Carballo *et al*, 2017)

### TADs are considered as functional genomic units

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Whether TADs structure is compatible with their functional role ?

Indeed, they can represent the manifestation of average interactions from large cell populations and therefore we need to understand their structure before to claim that they represent functional domains

### We undertook a structural approach combining Hi-C / Oligopaint technology / super-resolution microscopy in Drosophila

## The Oligopaint 3D-FISH technology

- > Represents a new generation of FISH probes entirely derived from synthetic DNA oligonucleotides
- Production of ssDNA oligo pools able to recognize any portion of the genome in various organisms, from 10 kb to several Mb, avoiding repetitive sequences

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_4.jpeg)

HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL

https://oligopaints.hms.harvard.edu

## **Super-Resolution Microscopy (SRM)**

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

Schermelleh, Heintzmann and Leonhardt, J.cell.Biol. 2010

# In *Drosophila*, TADs corresponds to the alternation of chromatin states

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Repressed chromatin ; Active chromatin

- Active chromatin: H3K4me3/H3K36me3/H3K27ac/gene dense/ubiquitously active
- Repressed chromatin: H3K27me3/Polycomb proteins or Void chromatin/gene poor/specific activation during developmental programs

Adapted from Szabo, Bantignies, Cavalli, Science Advances 2019

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Dual labeling of the chromatin fiber**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Local chromatin compaction reflects the chromatin state

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Local chromatin compaction reflects the chromatin state

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Local chromatin compaction reflects the chromatin state

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Investigating TAD structures in vivo

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

### Investigating TAD structures in vivo

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Repressed TADs spatially confine the chromatin fiber**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

### **Repressed TADs spatially confine the chromatin fiber**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

### Repressed TADs form discrete 3D chromosomal units or nanocompartments

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Repressed TADs form discrete 3D chromosomal units or nanocompartments Oligopaint probes

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Repressed TADs form discrete 3D chromosomal units or nanocompartments Oligopaint probes

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Repressed TADs form discrete 3D chromosomal units or nanocompartments

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

### Repressed TADs form discrete 3D chromosomal units or nanocompartments

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Polymer modeling of the chromatin fiber

Self-avoiding and self-interacting polymer model of the region of interest

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

Daniel Jost

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

Daniel Jost

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### What about shorter *inter* versus *intra*-TAD distances?

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### What about shorter *inter* versus *intra*-TAD distances?

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The relative TAD positioning can explain shorter *inter* versus *intra*-TAD distances

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

## The relative TAD positioning can explain shorter *inter* versus *intra*-TAD distances

![](_page_39_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Organization of the chromatin fiber in *Drosophila* interphase nuclei

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **CAVALLI** lab

**Giacomo Cavalli Quentin Szabo Thierry Cheutin Anne-Marie Martinez Bernd Schuettengruber** Laurianne Fritsch **Giorgio L. Papadopoulos Boyan Bonev** Satish Sati Yuki Ogiyama **Sandrine Denaud** Vincent Loubière **Ivana Jerkovic Axelle Donjon** 

Alumni **Virginie Roure Benjamin Leblanc Itys Comet Fillipo Ciabrelli Caroline Jacquier** 

![](_page_41_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_7.jpeg)

**NOLLMANN** lab Centre de Biochimie Structurale **CNRS Univ Montpellier Marcelo Nollmann Diego Cattoni Julian Gurgo** 

![](_page_41_Picture_9.jpeg)

**Amos Tanay** Weizmann Institute Israël

![](_page_41_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_12.jpeg)

erc AGENCE NATIONALE DE LA RECHERCIE

**BioCampus** Montpellier Ressources **Imagerie facility Julio Mateos Langerak** 

![](_page_41_Picture_14.jpeg)

#### **Daniel Jost** TIMCS-IMAG **CNRS Univ Grenoble Alpes**

![](_page_41_Picture_16.jpeg)

**Jia-Ming Chang** National Chengchi University

![](_page_41_Picture_18.jpeg)

**Tom Sexton** Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire **CNRS INSERM Univ Strasbourg** 

![](_page_41_Picture_20.jpeg)

**BioCampus Drosophila facility**